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Figure S1. Raman spectra of the graphite standard (black), graphitic schist (red) and soil of calibration set 1 (i.e. natural graphite containing soil, blue). Vertical lines indicate the peaks for amorphous carbon (1342/1339 cm$^{-1}$) and peaks for graphitic carbon (1575 cm$^{-1}$ standard/schist and 1596 cm$^{-1}$ for soil of calibration set 1). Indicated are the D1 band (1350 cm$^{-1}$), caused by plane defects and heteroatoms in the carbon structure, G (1580 cm$^{-1}$), crystalline carbon i.e. pure graphite, and D’ band (1620 cm$^{-1}$), caused by disordered graphitic lattices.
Fig. S2: Thermogravimetric analysis of artificial soil 1 before and after acid fumigation with HCl, as described in section 2.3.

Fig. S3: Thermogravimetric analysis of artificial soil 2, without carbonates, before and after acid fumigation with HCl, as described in section 2.3.
Fig. S4: Exploration of the best TGA temperature range (x – y axis) for creating a graphite content prediction model, based on the root-mean-square error (RMSE) data for calibration set 1 (color) and calibration set 2 (size). The smaller the RMSE, the better the model fitted to the data.
Fig. S5: Total carbon of the two calibrations sets as measured by the Elemental analyser and Soli-TOC device.